## IKEMA Depth of Response and Response Kinetics of Isatuximab plus Carfilzomib and Dexamethasone in Relapsed Multiple Myeloma: IKEMA Interim Analysis

Thomas Martin,<sup>1</sup> Joseph Mikhael,<sup>2</sup> Roman Hajek,<sup>3</sup> Kihyun Kim,<sup>4</sup> Kenshi Suzuki,<sup>5</sup> Cyrille Hulin,<sup>6</sup> Mamta Garg,<sup>7</sup> Hang Quach,<sup>8</sup> Hanlon Sia,<sup>9</sup> Anup George,<sup>10</sup> Tatiana Konstantinova,<sup>11</sup> Marie-Laure Risse,<sup>12</sup> Gaelle Asset,<sup>13</sup> Sandrine Macé,<sup>12</sup> Helgi van de Velde,<sup>14</sup> Philippe Moreau<sup>15</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA; <sup>2</sup>Translational Genomics Research Institute, City of Hope Cancer Center, Phoenix, AZ, USA;
<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University Hospital Ostrava, Ostrava, Czech Republic; <sup>4</sup>Division of Hematology-Oncology, Department of Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; <sup>5</sup>Department of Hematology, Japanese Red Cross Medical Center, Tokyo, Japan;
<sup>6</sup>Department of Hematology, University Hospital Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France; <sup>7</sup>Department of Haematology, Leicester Royal Infirmary, University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust, Leicester, United Kingdom; <sup>8</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Melbourne and St Vincent's Hospital, Victoria, Australia;
<sup>9</sup>Cancer Care & Haematology Unit, The Tweed Hospital, Tweed Heads, NSW, Australia; <sup>10</sup>Wellington Blood and Cancer Center, Wellington, New Zealand;
<sup>11</sup>Hematology Department, Regional Hospital #1, Ekaterinburg, Russia; <sup>12</sup>Sanofi Research and Development, Vitry-Sur-Seine, France;
<sup>13</sup>Sanofi Research and Development, Chilly-Mazarin, France; <sup>14</sup>Sanofi, Cambridge, MA; <sup>15</sup>Department of Hematology, University Hospital of Nantes, Nantes, France



### Isatuximab: Targets a specific epitope on CD38



Isatuximab, in combination with pomalidomide and dexamethasone, is approved in the US, EU, Canada, Australia, Switzerland, Japan, and Russia in RRMM after ≥2 prior therapies, including lenalidomide and a PI<sup>7</sup> CD38 functions as a receptor and an ectoenzyme, uniformly expressed on multiple myeloma (MM) cells<sup>1–5</sup>

Isatuximab: IgG1 monoclonal antibody targeting a CD38 transmembrane glycoprotein in MM with multiple modes of action:<sup>6</sup>

- ADCC, CDC, and ADCP
- Direct apoptosis
- Immunomodulation
- Inhibition of ectoenzyme activity

Lin P, et al. Am J Clin Pathol. 2004;121:482–488. 2. Angelopoulou MK, et al. Eur J Haematol. 2002;68:12–21.
 Schwonzen M, et al. Br J Haematol. 1993;83:232–239. 4. Keyhani A, et al. Leuk Res. 2000;24:153–159.
 Domingo-Domènech E, et al. Haematologica. 2002;87.1021–1027. 6. Jiang H, et al. Leukemia. 2016;30:399–408.
 Canofi. SARCLISA [Package Insert]. Bridgewater, NJ 2020.

ADCC, antibody dependent cellular cytotoxicity; ADCP, antibody dependent cellular phagocytosis; CD, cluster of differentiation; CDC, complement dependent cytotoxicity; EU, European Union; Fc, fragment crystallizable; Ig, immunoglobulin; MAC, membrane attack complex; PI, proteasome inhibitor; RRMM, relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma; Treg, regulatory T cells; US, United States.

### IKEMA Study design: Isa-Kd vs Kd in relapsed multiple myeloma

Randomization

3:2

Stratification factors:

- Prior line 1 vs >1
- R-ISS I or II vs III vs not classified

**Relapsed MM** N=302

- 1–3 prior lines

- No prior therapy with carfilzomib
- Not refractory to prior anti-CD38

#### Isa-Kd (n=179)

- Isa: 10 mg/kg on D1, 8, 15, 22 in C1, then Q2W
- K: 20 mg/m<sup>2</sup> D1–2; 56 mg/m<sup>2</sup> D8–9, D15–16 C1; 56 mg/m<sup>2</sup> D1–2, D8–9, D15–16 all subsequent cycles
- d: 20 mg D1-2, D8-9, D15-16 and D22-23 each cycle

Treatment until PD, unacceptable toxicities. or patient choice

#### Kd (n=123)

- K: 20 mg/m<sup>2</sup> D1–2; 56 mg/m<sup>2</sup> D8–9, D15–16 C1; 56 mg/m<sup>2</sup> D1–2. D8–9. D15–16 all subsequent cycles
- d: 20 mg D1-2, D8-9, D15-16 and D22-23 each cycle

**Key secondary** endpoints: ORR, rate of ≥VGPR, MRD negativity, CR rate, OS

Median PFS control arm estimated at 19 months

Prespecified interim analysis when 65% PFS events (103) as per IRC

Sample size calculation: ~300 patients and 159 PFS events to detect 41% risk reduction in hazard rate for PFS with 90% power and one-sided 0.025 significance level

IKEMA study: NCT03275285

C, cycle; CD, cluster of differentiation; CR, complete response; D, day; d, dexamethasone; IRC, Independent Response Committee; Isa, isatuximab; K, carfilzomib; MM, multiple myeloma; MRD, minimal residual disease; ORR, overall response rate; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; Q2W, once every 2 weeks; R-ISS, Revised International Staging System; VGPR, very good partial response.

Moreau P, et al. Future Oncol. 2020;16:4347-4358.

- Depth and kinetics of response were analyzed for each treatment arm
- Response was assessed by an Independent Response Committee based on central laboratory data for M-protein and central review of local imaging according to IMWG criteria<sup>1</sup> and bone marrow for plasma cell infiltration
- Minimal residual disease was assessed by the central laboratory in bone marrow aspirate samples from patients who achieved ≥VGPR by NGS at 10<sup>-5</sup> sensitivity level

### IKEMA Depth of response



## The MRD– rate more than doubled in patients receiving Isa-Kd and was approximately 30% in the ITT population

\*Adaptive Biotechnologies NGS, MRD testing performed at time of VGPR or CR.<sup>†</sup>Stratified Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test. One-sided significance level is 0.025. <sup>‡</sup>Provided for descriptive purposes only. CR, complete response; d, dexamethasone; ITT, intent-to-treat; Isa, isatuximab; K, carfilzomib; MRD, minimal residual disease; neg, negative; NGS, next generation sequencing; ORR, overall response rate; PFS, progression-free survival; VGPR, very good partial response.

### IKEMA Isa-Kd leads to deeper responses



# Depth and quality of response favors Isa-Kd with higher rates of ≥PR, VGPR, CR, and MRD– vs Kd and time to first complete response occurred earlier with Isa-Kd

BOR, best overall response; CR, complete response; d, dexamethasone; IRC, Independent Response Committee; Isa, isatuximab; ITT, intent-to-treat; K, carfilzomib; MRD, minimal residual disease; PR, partial response; VGPR, very good partial response.

### **IKEMA**

### Key patient demographics and baseline characteristics by MRD status

	MRD- (n=69)		MRD+ (n=233)	
Randomized population	lsa-Kd (n=53)	Kd (n=16)	Isa-Kd (n=126)	Kd (n=107)
Age in years, median (range)	64.0 (37–83)	65.5 (33–78)	65.0 (38–86)	63.0 (38–90)
eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> (MDRD)*, %	26.5	13.3	25.9	16.7
ISS stage at diagnosis, %				
Stage I	18.9	50.0	23.8	23.4
Stage II	24.5	37.5	31.7	39.3
Stage III	32.1	12.5	27.8	21.5
Unknown	24.5	0	16.7	15.9
Prior lines of therapy at study entry, median (range)	1.0 (1–4)	1.0 (1–3)	2.0 (1–4)	2.0 (1-4)
1, %	52.8	62.5	40.5	42.1
2, %	24.5	25.0	40.5	29.9
≥3, %	22.7	12.5	19.1	28.1
Patients refractory to, %				
Lenalidomide	26.4	25.0	34.1	35.5
IMiD and PI	11.3	12.5	23.0	23.4
Refractory to last regimen, %	47.2	31.3	50.8	63.6
Lenalidomide	18.9	18.8	20.6	26.2
Bortezomib	15.1	12.5	19.0	19.6
Cytogenetic risk at baseline <sup>†</sup> , % High risk	17.0	43.8	26.2	22.4
Gain(1q21) <sup>++</sup> , % Present	45.3	43.8	40.5	42.1

Potential to reach MRD– with Isa-Kd is independent of adverse prognostic characteristics, such as:

- Renal impairment
- ISS stage III at diagnosis
- ≥3 prior lines
- Gain(1q21)

\*Incidence calculated on patients with race reported in CRF:165 patients in Isa-Kd arm, 111 patients in Kd arm. <sup>1</sup>Cytogenetics by central lab – cut-off 50% for del17p, 30% for t(4;14) and t(14;16). <sup>+†</sup>Gain(1q21) is defined as the presence of at least 3 copies with a cut-off of 30%. CRF, case report form; d, dexamethasone; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; IMiD, immunomodulatory drug; Isa, isatuximab; ISS, International Staging System; K, carflizomib; MDRD, modification of diet in renal disease; MRD, minimal residual disease; PI, proteasome inhibitor.

### IKEMA Progression-free survival according to MRD status



A more pronounced PFS benefit was seen in MRD– patients

PFS HR in favor of Isa-Kd for both MRD– and MRD+ patients and consistent with the primary PFS HR

Hypothesis	Isatuximab may interfere with M-protein assessment
Samples to be tested	27 patients on Isa-Kd either near CR (IF + IgG kappa) or potential CR (serum M protein ≤0.5 g/dL + IF IgG kappa)
Mass spectrome	try 15/27 patients M-protein negative with mass spectrometry
Adjust CR	11/15 mass spec (-) patients had plasma cells in bone marrow <5% CR underestimated by 6.1% due to M-protein interference, adjusted to 45.8%
Adj MRI	7/11 patients were also MRD– MRD– CR MRD– CR underestimated by 3.9% due to M-protein interference, adjusted to 24%



# With adjustment for isatuximab interference in M-protein assessment, the rate of patients with both MRD– and CR increased to 24% in the Isa-Kd arm

MRD testing performed at time of VGPR or CR.

CR, complete response; d, dexamethasone; Isa, isatuximab; K, carfilzomib; MRD, minimal residual disease; Mass Spec, mass spectrometry; VGPR, very good partial response.

### IKEMA Summary

- Isa-Kd leads to deeper responses with a clinically meaningful improvement in the complete response rate vs Kd
- Longer progression-free survival is seen in both MRD– and MRD+ patients and more patients in the Isa-Kd vs the Kd arm reached MRD– status (30% vs 13%).
- PFS was in favor of Isa-Kd in both MRD– and MRD+ patients (HR 0.578 and 0.670, respectively)
- Potential to reach MRD– with Isa-Kd is independent of adverse prognostic characteristics, such as, renal impairment, ISS stage III, ≥3 prior lines and gain(1q21)
- Mass spectrometry supports underestimation of complete response and MRD– complete response patients which could reach the unprecedented rate of 45.8% and 24.0%, respectively

#### Isa-Kd represents a potential new standard of care for patients with relapsed MM

### IKEMA Disclosures

**TM:** Research funding – Amgen, Sanofi, Seattle Genetics, JNJ, and Janssen; Consultancy fees – Legend Biotech

JM: Honoraria – Celgene, Takeda, BMS, Janssen, and Amgen; Consulting or advisory role – Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Janssen-Cilag, and Takeda

RH: Personal fees – AbbVie, Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Pharma Mar, Novartis, and Takeda; Grants – Novartis and Takeda

KK: Consultancy, honoraria, and research funding – Amgen, BMS, Janssen, Sanofi, and Takeda

**KS:** Consulting or advisory role – Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Janssen-Cilag Novartis, and Takeda; Speakers' bureau – Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Janssen-Cilag, Novartis, and Takeda

**HQ:** Research funding – Amgen, Sanofi, Celgene, Karyopharm, and GSK; Board of directors or advisory committee – Amgen, Celgene, Karyopharm, Janssen, and Sanofi; Consultancy – GSK, Karyopharm, Amgen, Celgene, and Janssen; Honoraria – GSK, Karyopharm, Amgen, Celgene, and Janssen

CH, MG, HSi, AG, TK: Had nothing to disclose

M-LR, GA, SM, HvdV: Are employees of Sanofi and may hold shares and/or stock options in the company

PM: Honoraria – Amgen, Celgene, Janssen, Novartis, and Takeda; Consulting or advisory role – Amgen, Celgene, Janssen, Novartis, and Takeda

The IKEMA study was sponsored by Sanofi. We thank the participating patients and their families, and the study centers and investigators, for their contributions to the study. Medical writing support was provided by John Clarke, PhD, of Elevate Medical Affairs, contracted by Sanofi Genzyme for publication support services.